

Draft Planning Committee Protocol

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Planning (Wales) Act which received Royal Assent in 2015 will result in many changes to the planning system in Wales. In addition to legislative change the Minister is strongly advocating culture change; part of which is a more consistent approach to planning across Wales including greater consistency in the operation of planning committees.
- 1.2 A recent study by the Royal Town Planning Institute into the “Study into the Operation of Planning Committees in Wales” concluded that there is a wide variety of practice in the operation of planning committees across Wales and recommended that a national planning committee protocol be prepared.
- 1.3 As a result of this study, Welsh Government invited local authorities to draft a voluntary planning committee protocol. Through the WLGA a drafting group was established with representatives from the Planning Officers Society Wales and Lawyers in Local Government. The members of this group are:
 - Sioned Wyn Davies, Legal, Democratic and Registration Services Manager, Wrexham CBC
 - Simon Gale, Service Director Planning, RCT CBC
 - Simon Humphreys, Head of Legal Planning & Environment, RCT CBC
 - Roderic Jones, Senior Lawyer, Bridgend CBC
 - Jane Lee, Policy Officer, WLGA
 - Paul Lucas, Director Legal and Democratic Services, RCT CBC
- 1.4 Following a series of meetings, the drafting group has prepared a draft protocol for consultation. The draft protocol is based on the published LLG Planning Code or Protocol 2014.

2.0 The Protocol

- 2.1 The primary aim of the protocol is to improve consistency across the 25 LPAs while ensuring a level of local flexibility through discretion of the Chair and locally determined procedures such as the committee meeting running order. Planning Committees have different names in different local planning authorities therefore where the term “planning committee” appears in the text this has been inserted in brackets.
- 2.2 It is intended that the protocol will complement any national and local codes on Councillor Conduct and the general arrangements

regarding the running of meetings. This protocol is specific to Planning and covers the following areas:

- Relationship to the Members' Code of Conduct
- Development Proposals and Personal and Prejudicial Interests
- Fettering Discretion in the Planning Process
- Member Involvement at the pre-application stage
- Contact with Applicants, Developers and Objectors
- Lobbying of Councillors
- Lobbying by Councillors
- Site Visits/Inspections
- Public Speaking at Meetings
- Public Speaking Procedures
- Role of Officers
- Decision Making
- Cooling Off Period
- Duties of the Chair
- Role of Members at a Planning Appeal
- Training
- Customer Care
- Advice for the public on attending and speaking at the Planning Committee

3.0 How to respond

3.1 The WLGA welcomes comments on the protocol and has inserted questions in the consultation draft of the protocol to prompt responses. The closing date for comments is Friday 20th May 2016. Please send comments to Jane Lee by email jane.lee@wlga.gov.uk or by post to WLGA, Local Government House, Drake Walk, Cardiff, CF10 4LG.

3.2 The drafting group will review these comments and make changes to the protocol where appropriate. It is anticipated that the final protocol will be available in June for consideration by each local authority at the appropriate Council meeting.

Draft Planning Committee Protocol

1.0 Introduction

1.1 **The aim of this Protocol** is to ensure that in the planning process there are no grounds for suggesting that a decision has been biased, partial or not well founded in any way.

1.2 One of the key purposes of the planning system is to regulate the development and use of land in the public interest. Your role as a Member of the Planning Authority is to make planning decisions

openly, impartially, with sound judgement and for justifiable reasons.

- 1.3 You are also a democratically accountable decision-taker who has been elected to provide and pursue policies. You are entitled to be predisposed to make planning decisions in accordance with your political views and policies provided that you have considered all material considerations and have given fair consideration to relevant points raised.
- 1.4 **When the Protocol applies:** this protocol applies to Members at all times when involving themselves in the planning process. (This includes when taking part in the decision making meetings of the Council in exercising the functions of the Planning Authority or when involved on less formal occasions, such as meetings with officers or the public and consultative meetings). It applies as equally to planning enforcement matters or site specific policy issues as it does to planning applications.
- 1.5 If you have any doubts about the application of this protocol to your own circumstances you should seek advice early, from the Monitoring Officer or one of his or her staff, and preferably well before any meeting takes place.

Q1. Do you agree with having a national planning protocol?

- 3.1 Yes, the Local Planning Authority can see the benefits for having a national protocol to ensure consistency of decision making within Wales, subject to the comments incorporated below in the relevant sections. It is acknowledged that this would be a voluntary protocol and a degree of flexibility is required to take account of local circumstances and to allow the Chairperson to manage meetings effectively in response to unforeseen circumstances. A requirement to slavishly adhere to the protocol in all circumstances could expose Authorities to unnecessary challenge or criticism and this should be avoided.
2. Relationship to the Code of Conduct

Do apply the rules in the Code of Conduct first, which must always be complied with.
Do then apply the rules in this Members' Planning Committee Protocol, which seek to explain and supplement the Code of Conduct and the law on decision making for the purposes of planning control. If you do not abide by this protocol, you may put:

 - the Council at risk of proceedings on the legality of the related decision or maladministration; and
 - yourself at risk of being named in a report made to the Council or, if the failure is also likely to be a breach of the Code of Conduct, in a complaint being made to the Ombudsman.
 3. Development Proposals and Personal and Prejudicial Interests

Conduct of All Members

Do disclose the existence and nature of your interest as required by the Code of Conduct.

Do then act in accordance with the Code of Conduct. Where your interest is a personal and also a prejudicial interest:

- Don't participate, or give the appearance of trying to participate, in the making of any decision on the matter by the [planning committee]
- Don't seek or accept any preferential treatment, or place yourself in a position that could lead the public to think you are receiving preferential treatment, because of your position as a councillor. This would include, where you have a disclosable or other personal conflict of interest in a proposal, using your position to discuss that proposal with officers or Members when other members of the public would not have the same opportunity to do so.

Do note that you will be able to speak at a [planning committee] where you have a prejudicial interest if and only to the same extent that a member of the public would have a right to speak on that item but remember that you must withdraw from the meeting as soon as you have finished speaking.

Do notify the Monitoring Officer in writing of your own planning applications and note that:

- you should send the notification no later than submission of that application;
- the proposal will always be reported to the [planning committee] as a main item and not dealt with by officers under delegated powers;
- you must not get involved in the processing of the application; and
- it is advisable that you employ an agent to act on your behalf in respect of the proposal when dealing with officers and in public speaking at Committee.

Q2. Do these proposals differ from the protocol you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

These proposals reflect the current situation – no difficulties are foreseen.

4. Fettering Discretion in the Planning Process (natural justice, predisposition and predetermination)

4.1 Members of the Planning Committee

Don't fetter your discretion by approaching the decision with a closed mind.

Do be aware that in your role as an elected Member you are entitled, and are often expected, to have expressed views on planning issues and that these comments have an added measure of protection under the Localism Act 2011.

Do keep at the front of your mind that, when you come to make the decision, you

- are entitled to have and to have expressed your own views on the matter, provided you are prepared to reconsider your position in the light of all the evidence, arguments and other material considerations;
- must keep an open mind and hear all of the evidence before you, including the written report, the officers' presentation of the facts and their advice, any oral or written representations received and the arguments from all sides together with all relevant legislation/ planning policy;
- are not required to cast aside views on planning policy you held when seeking election or otherwise acting as a Member, in giving fair consideration to points raised;
- are only entitled to take account a material consideration and must disregard considerations irrelevant to the question and legal context at hand; and
- are to come to a decision after giving what you feel is the right weight to those material considerations.

Do be aware that you can be found to be biased where the Council is the landowner, developer or applicant if you have acted as, or could be perceived as being, a chief advocate for the proposal. (This is more than a matter of membership of both the proposing and planning determination committees, but that through your significant personal involvement in preparing or advocating the proposal you will be, or perceived by the public as being, no longer able to act impartially or to determine the proposal purely on its planning merits – refer to Section 25 of the Localism Act 2011.)

Do consider yourself able to take part in the debate on a proposal when acting as part of a consultee body (where you are also a member of the community council, for example, or both a unitary authority councillor), provided:

- the proposal does not substantially effect the wellbeing or financial standing of the consultee body;
- you make it clear to the consultee body that:
- your views are expressed on the limited information before you only;

- you must reserve judgement and the independence to make up your own mind on each separate proposal, based on your overriding duty to the whole community and not just to the people in that area, ward or community, as and when it comes before the Committee and you hear all of the relevant information; and
- you will not in any way commit yourself as to how you or others may vote when the proposal comes before the Committee.

Do explain that you do not intend to speak and vote as a member of the Committee because you will be perceived as having judged (or you reserve the right to judge) the matter elsewhere, so that this may be recorded in the minutes. (Use the disclosure form provided for disclosing interests.)

Do remember that as a Member of the [planning committee] subject to the provisions of the Code of Conduct (especially with regard to personal and prejudicial interests) and provided that you have not pre-determined the application you can speak and vote on any application which comes before the Committee.

Where an application comes before the [planning committee] which falls within the electoral area of a Member that Member can: -

- act as a Member for their electoral ward in dealing with the application; or
- act as a Member of the [planning committee] in relation to the application.

Where a Member acts as a Member for their electoral ward:

- they will be able to speak on an application but not vote on that application
- they must notify the Chair when that item has been called as the next business to be considered by the [planning committee] that they intend to act as a Member for their electoral ward
- the Chair shall invite the Member to speak following any public speakers but before any debate starts. If the Member decides not to speak on the Application they shall not be given a further opportunity to speak.
- once the Member has exercised their right to speak or indicated that they do not wish to speak they shall leave the [planning committee] area until the item is dealt with.

Where a Member acts as a Member of the [planning committee] for an application in their electoral ward:

- they must notify the Chair when that item has been called as the next business to be considered by the [planning committee] that they intend to act as a Member of the [planning committee]
- the Chair shall explain to all present that the Member will not be acting as a local member for that application and may speak in the debate and vote.

Do seek advice from the [Monitoring Officer] before the meeting of the [planning committee] where you have an interest under the Code of Conduct in an item in your electoral ward {NB the Code of Conduct is due to be amended and para 10(2)(b) is likely to disappear}

Q3. Does the proposal regarding voting differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

The proposals regarding voting differ from the current arrangements that the Council have in place as currently it is assumed that a Member is acting as a Member of Planning Committee unless otherwise declared. Currently, some Members declare an interest at the start of meeting of applications within their ward but this would not appear to be standard practice.

A process for Members to declare whether they wish to act for their electoral ward or as a Member of the Planning Committee appears unnecessary. Currently where a Member wishes to declare a personal and/or prejudicial interest they do so at the start of the meeting and act accordingly i.e. either: speak and vote, speak and leave the meeting or leave the meeting during the item. This process appears to work effectively and any change would appear unnecessary. The proposed changes would require a change to the Council's Constitution. This provision would therefore not be supported.

4.2. Member involvement at the pre-application stage

Do be aware that in your role as an elected member, you are entitled, and are often expected, to have expressed views on planning issues and that these comments have an added measure of protection under the Localism Act 2011.

Do be aware that you can through personal significant involvement in preparing or advocating a proposal be, or be perceived by the public as being, no longer able to act impartially.

Do consider yourself able to take part in a consultation on a proposal and, if you are a member of the [planning committee], the subsequent determination of the application provided that: -

- You do not in any way commit yourself as to how you may vote when the proposal comes before the [planning committee] for determination;

- You focus only on site factors and site issues;
- You do not lobby fellow councillors regarding your concerns or views, nor attempt to persuade them how to vote in advance of the meeting at which the planning decision is taken;
- You are not involved in negotiations regarding the application. These should be conducted by officers separately from any pre-application discussions members have been involved in.

At a pre-application consultation: -

- **Do** ask relevant questions for the purpose of clarifying your understanding of the proposal;
- **Do** remember that the presentation is not part of the formal process of debate and determination of any application.

<p>Q4. Are members currently involved in pre-application discussions? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?</p>

Members are not currently involved in the formal pre-application discussions / process undertaken by the Local Planning Authority and are not consulted on pre-application enquiries by the LPA. However, Members have been invited to attend public meetings and consultation events on strategic schemes that are coming forward as part of the LDP process for input/ information but these have been organised independently by applicants.

With the introduction of new legislation for major applications, Members will be consulted directly by applicants and will have a significantly larger role to play in commenting on applications at pre-application stage. Members should be aware that these comments will subsequently be incorporated into a Pre-App Consultation Report and Members comments will be included within document which would be in the public domain, would form part of the application submission and could be a material consideration in the determination of the application.

Proposals for Member involvement at this stage of the process therefore require very careful consideration. In this respect the Authority has been invited by POSW to participate in a pilot scheme on pre-application member involvement which is welcomed.

5. Contact with Applicants, Developers and Objectors

Do refer those who approach you for planning, procedural or technical advice to officers.

Don't agree to any formal meeting with applicants, developers or groups of objectors where you can avoid it. Where you feel that a formal meeting would be useful in clarifying the issues, you should seek to arrange that

meeting yourself through a request to the [Development Control Manager] to organise it. The officer(s) will then ensure that those present at the meeting are advised from the start that the discussions will not bind the authority to any particular course of action, that the meeting is properly recorded on the application file and the record of the meeting is disclosed when the application is considered by the Committee.

Do otherwise:

- follow the Authority's rules on lobbying;
- consider whether or not it would be prudent in the circumstances to make notes when contacted; and
- report to the [Development Control Manager] any significant contact with the applicant and other parties, explaining the nature and purpose of the contacts and your involvement in them, and ensure that this is recorded on the planning file.

In addition in respect of presentations by applicants/developers:

Don't attend a planning presentation without requesting an officer to be present.

Do ask relevant questions for the purposes of clarifying your understanding of the proposals.

Do remember that the presentation is not part of the formal process of debate and determination of any subsequent application, this will be carried out by the appropriate Committee of the planning authority.

Do be aware that a presentation is a form of lobbying and, whilst you may express any view on the merits or otherwise of the proposal presented, you should never state how you or other Members would intend to vote at a committee.

Q5. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

Currently the Council do not encourage general meetings with Planning Committee Members and objectors/ applicants present. Members will often discuss applications with the case officer and raise issues/ query through them if further information/ clarification is required and has not been provided by the applicant. Where such meetings do take place it is recommended that an officer be present.

As such, the draft meeting protocol is not currently adopted by the Authority with such meetings being carried out on a sporadic, ad hoc basis which are unlikely to be explicitly disclosed at Planning Committee and/ or formally noted on the application file. However, these arrangements would be relatively simple to adopt if required.

When public presentations are held by the applicant/ developer Planning Committee Members are not normally encouraged to attend as this is the role of Ward Members (i.e. non Committee Members), particularly in multi Member Wards.

This section is unclear as to whether it refers solely to submitted planning applications or pre-application enquiries.

6. Lobbying of Councillors

Do explain to those lobbying or attempting to lobby you that, whilst you can listen to what is said, it may subsequently prejudice your impartiality, and therefore your ability to participate in the Committee's decision making, to make any sort of promise to vote one way or another or offer a firm point of view that it amounts to the same thing.

Do remember that your overriding duty is to the whole community not just to the people in your ward and, taking account of the need to make decisions impartially, that you should not improperly favour, or appear to improperly favour, any person, company, group or locality.

Don't accept gifts or hospitality from any person involved in or affected by a planning proposal.

Do copy or pass on any lobbying correspondence you receive to the [Development Control Manager] at the earliest opportunity.

Do promptly refer to the [Development Control Manager] any offers made to you of planning gain or constraint of development, through a proposed s.106 Planning Obligation or otherwise.

Do inform the [Monitoring Officer] where you feel you have been exposed to undue or excessive lobbying or approaches (including inappropriate offers of gifts or hospitality), who will in turn advise the appropriate officers to follow the matter up.

Do note that, unless you have a personal interest which is also a prejudicial interest, you will not have fettered your discretion or breached this Planning Protocol through:

- Listening to or receiving viewpoints from residents or other interested parties;
- making comments to residents, interested parties, other Members or appropriate officers (making clear that you must keep an open mind when it comes to making the decision);
- seeking information through appropriate channels; or
- being a vehicle for the expression of opinion of others in your role as a [Ward][Division] Member.

Q6. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

In practice no, although it is unclear whether the reporting structure regarding lobbying correspondence and/ or offers of S106 negotiations are formally adopted/ agreed.

7. Lobbying by Councillors

Don't become a member of, lead or represent an organisation whose primary purpose is to lobby to promote or oppose planning proposals unless it is your intention to openly campaign on the matter and will therefore step away from the Committee when it comes to make its decision.

Do join general interest groups which reflect your areas of interest and which concentrate on issues beyond particular planning proposals (such as the Victorian Society, CPRW, Ramblers Association or a local civic society), but you should disclose that interest on the grounds of transparency where the organisation has made representations on a particular proposal.

Don't lobby fellow councillors regarding your concerns or views nor attempt to persuade them that they should decide how to vote in advance of the meeting at which any planning decision is to be taken.

Don't decide or discuss how to vote on any application at any political group meeting, or lobby any other Member to do so. Political Group Meetings should never dictate how Members should vote on a planning issue.

Q7. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

These proposals reflect the current situation – no difficulties are foreseen.

8. Site Visits/Inspections

8.1 Site visits are fact-finding exercises, the sole purpose of which is to allow the [planning committee] to look at the site and its surroundings and shall only be held when the [planning committee] are unable to reach an informed decision without seeing the site for themselves and an inspections would have substantial benefit.

Examples where a site visit would NOT be appropriate include: -

- Where purely policy matters or issues of principle are at issue;
- A Member wishes to consider boundary or neighbour disputes;
- To consider issues of competition;
- To consider loss of property values;
- Simply at the invitation or request of the local Member;
- Where you disagree with the conclusion reached in the Officer's report;
- To consider issues which are not material planning considerations;

- Where Members have already visited the site in the last 12 months, other than in exceptional circumstances, details of which shall be minuted.

8.2 In all cases where a decision is made to conduct a site visit the full planning reasons and details of the issues to be inspected during the site visit shall be minuted.

If a site visited is conducted as a member of the [planning committee]:

- **Do** try to attend site visits organised by the Council where possible.
- **Do** ensure that you report back to the Committee any information gained from the site visit that you feel would benefit all Members of the [planning committee]
- **Do** ensure that you treat the site visit only as an opportunity to seek information and to observe the site.
- **Do** ask the officers at the site visit questions or seek clarification from them on matters which are relevant to the site inspection.
- **Don't** hear representations from any other party, with the exception of the [Ward] [local] Member(s) whose address must focus only on site factors and site issues. Where you are approached by the applicant or a third party, advise them that they should make representations in writing to the authority and direct them to or inform the officer present.
- **Don't** express opinions or views.
- **Don't** enter a site which is subject to a proposal other than as part of an official site visit, even in response to an invitation, as this may give the impression of bias unless:
 - you feel it is essential for you to visit the site other than through attending the official site visit,
 - you have first spoken to the [Development Control Manager] about your intention to do so and why (which will be recorded on the file) and
 - you can ensure you will comply with these good practice rules on site visits.

Q8. Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

Currently Members request that an application is subject to a site visit, in writing in advance of the relevant Planning Committee meeting.

Please note that the wording of Paragraph 8.1 can be interpreted either as Members have to make a request to visit the site **at** Planning Committee (which would add to delays as a site visit would then have to be arranged and the application reported back to Committee) or where a Member considers beforehand that Planning Committee would be unable to reach an informed decision without seeing the site themselves. It is assumed that the latter is intended but this should be clarified in the final protocol.

The Authority's current approach to requesting and carrying out Committee Site Visits in advance of meetings is considered to provide an efficient mechanism which reduces delay in the Committee decision making process. Whilst the principles set out in Section 8.1 are welcomed the Authority would not wish to entertain any revised process which would undermine this approach.

9. Public Speaking at Meetings

Don't allow members of the public to communicate with you during the Committee's proceedings (orally or in writing) other than through the scheme for public speaking or through the Chair, as this may give the appearance of bias.

Do ensure that you comply with the Council's procedures in respect of public speaking.

Q9. Does your authority allow public speaking? If not are there any reasons why public speaking cannot be allowed?

Yes. The Council currently allow the objector(s) and the applicant each a total of 5 minutes to address the planning committee.

10. Public Speaking Procedures

10.1 Public speaking shall be permitted at a [planning committee] in accordance with the following procedures: -

- A member of the public who wishes to speak at the [planning committee] must notify the [Development Control Manager] in writing at least 2 working days prior to the date of the [planning committee] where the planning application will be considered. In exceptional circumstances, the Chair may agree to hear late requests.
- Where an application is deferred (following an application where Members have indicated that they are minded to either grant or refuse contrary to officer recommendation) then public speakers will not be heard on the second occasion that the application is before Members subject to the Chairman's discretion, in exceptional circumstances, to allow such speakers.

- Each side will have no more than 5 minutes to address the meeting although, in exceptional circumstances, the Chairman may extend this time. Where there is more than one speaker objecting to the application then the five minutes is a total for all objectors.
- Visual aids and other supporting evidence will not be permitted.
- A member of the public addressing the [planning committee] is not permitted to put questions to Members or Officers but this will not prevent Members asking the public speaker questions through the Chairman.
- The order for public speaking shall be:
 - The applicant/professional adviser of the objector
 - Supporters of the applicant
 - Objector/ professional advisers of the objectors
 - Response by the applicant
 - Community Councillor
 - Local Member or adjoining Ward Member
- Consideration of an application will not be delayed simply because an objector, the applicant, Community Councillor or Ward Member is not present providing that they have been appropriately informed of the date of the meeting and of their right to speak at the meeting.

Q10. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

The proposals are broadly similar to the Authority's current approach to public speaking, however, the Authority accepts requests to speak up to 24 hours before the relevant Planning Committee and use of visual aids is not prohibited provided that these are also submitted 24 hours in advance. With regard the order of public speaking it is considered that the objector should speak first to avoid the need for a further response by the applicant as recommended in the draft protocol. The Chairperson currently has discretion over public speaking i.e. whether to hear late requests, times and order and this discretion should also be retained.

11. Role of Officers

Don't put pressure on officers to put forward a particular recommendation. (This does not prevent you from asking questions or submitting views to the [Development Control Manager], which may be incorporated into any committee report).

Do recognise and respect that officers involved in the processing and determination of planning matters must act in accordance with the Council's Code of Conduct for Officers and their professional codes of conduct, primarily the Royal Town Planning Institute's Code of

Professional Conduct. As a result, planning officers' views, opinions and recommendations will be presented on the basis of their overriding obligation of professional independence, which may on occasion be at odds with the views, opinions or decisions of the Committee or its Members.

12. Decision Making

Do ensure that, if you request a proposal to go before the Committee rather than be determined through officer delegation, that your planning reasons are recorded and repeated in the report to the Committee.

Do come to meetings with an open mind and demonstrate that you are open-minded.

Do comply with section 38 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and make decisions in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Do come to your decision only after due consideration of all of the information reasonably required upon which to base a decision. If you feel there is insufficient time to digest new information or that there is simply insufficient information before you, request that further information, if it is reasonable to do so and is required for planning purposes. If necessary, defer or refuse.

Don't vote or take part in the meeting's discussion on a proposal unless you have been present to hear the entire debate, including the officers' introduction to the matter.

Do have recorded the reasons for Committee's decision to defer any proposal [and that this is in accordance with the Council's protocol on deferrals].

Do make sure that if you are proposing, seconding or supporting a decision contrary to officer recommendations or the development plan that you clearly identify and understand the planning reasons leading to this conclusion/decision. These reasons must be given prior to the vote and be recorded. Be aware that you may have to justify the resulting decision by giving evidence in the event of any challenge.

Q11. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

No, Members do not put pressure on Officers to make a particular recommendation and respect Officers professional opinion, even if they do not agree with it. When Members request a proposal is reported to Planning Committee, these requests are recorded in the Officers report.

13 .Cooling Off Period

13.1 Where Members of the [planning committee] are minded to take a decision against Officers recommendation, Members should defer consideration of that matter to the next meeting of the Committee in order to receive a further report from [Development Control

Manager], if necessary, in consultation with the [Legal and Democratic Services Officer], upon the strengths and weaknesses of any proposed or possible planning reasons for such a decision.

Q12. What are your views on having a cooling off period?

Whilst the requirement for a “cooling off” period is welcomed this could provide inflexible if applied in all circumstances. The Authority’s current “Two Stage Voting Process” provides a degree of flexibility which, it is considered, the Authority should seek to retain.

As part of the “Two Stage Voting Process” officers will recommend that an application be deferred for a further report on the merits of reasons of refusal or conditions if the Authority could be at risk of challenge or costs at appeal. Whilst Members do have the discretion to ignore this advice in practice this has rarely been an issue and this process has proven to work effectively.

14. Duties of the Chair

14.1 The Chair shall make clear to everyone present the capacity in which a Member is speaking on a specific application unless that Member is a Member of the [planning committee] and taking part in the debate.

14.2 The Chair shall make clear to everyone present when the [planning committee] is moving to the debate stage on any application.

14.3 The Chair shall make clear to everyone present at the [planning committee] that a debate or speech must relate to planning issues relevant to the application.

14.4 The Chair shall ensure that all Members of the [planning committee] who are entitled to vote on any particular application understand what they are voting for and whether the vote is on an amendment or on a recommendation.

14.5 The Chair will be responsible for making clear to everyone present at a meeting what the decision is on an application

Q13. Are these duties different from current duties? Do you consider that training for Chair of Planning Committee would be required?

Currently, the responsibility is on individual Members to declare the capacity in which they are speaking and this should remain. Given what is mentioned above in this protocol, it should remain the case that the relevant Members declares on what behalf they are speaking and therefore the Chair should not be required to reiterate this stance providing it is clear to all.

A national programme of training for Committee Members including specific training for the Chairperson would be welcomed.

15. Role of Members at a Planning Appeal

- 15.1 Where a [planning committee] refuse an application contrary to officers' recommendation the planning officers' professional code will prevent them from supporting the committee decision at appeal.
- 15.2 It is acceptable for a member of the [planning committee] to advocate the decision made by the [planning committee] but it is recommended that an officer provides technical support to the Member at the appeal and deals with any technical or process issues raised by the Inspector or other participants.
- 15.3 A Ward Member or Non-Committee Member is entitled to make representations at the appeal but they should offer local views and not the views of the [planning committee]
- 15.4 A Member who disagrees with the [planning committee] should not make opposing representations at an appeal and should accept the decision of the [planning committee] as being fair, open and democratic. Where a Member wishes to make representations at an appeal that are contrary to the decision of the [planning committee] they should first inform the [Development Control Manager] of their decision so that the Planning Inspectorate can be informed. Members in these circumstances must understand that the views that they put forward do not represent the views of the Council or the [planning committee].

Q14. Do these proposals differ from the arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with adopting these proposals?

Yes – currently Members overturns that result in appeal are defended by a different Officer within the Planning Authority.

A greater role of Members in this process is, however, to be welcomed although this must be supported by appropriate training.

16. Training

- 16.1 All Members of the [planning committee] must undertake training in accordance with the relevant training scheme (local or national) before participating in any decision making at meetings.
- 16.2 **Do** endeavour to attend any other specialised training sessions provided, since these will be designed to extend your knowledge of planning law, regulations, procedures, Codes of Practice and the Development Plans beyond the minimum referred to above and thus assist you in carrying out your role properly and effectively.

Q15. Do you currently require planning committee members to undertake training before participating in any decision making? Would you support a national approach to provision of training resources?

Yes – Members of the Planning committee undertake training. It would be useful to have a national approach to training provision to enable Members to learn from and share experiences as well as ensuring that training is fit for purpose to meeting Welsh Government's agenda for cultural change.

17. Customer Care

17.1 The Planning Authority will adopt a procedure which sets out the way in which each application will be dealt with. This procedure should cover cut off time for representations and how late representations to the report are managed, how members' questions will be dealt with, moving and seconding of recommendations from officers and how amendments will be dealt with.

17.2 Local Planning Authorities are not obliged to notify objectors that the application is going to committee. It is however advised that interested parties are made aware that information regarding committee agendas is available on the Council website and therefore they are advised to regularly check the Council website.

17.3 As part of the proper administration of the meeting any members of the public who attend shall be shown to the public gallery and provided with sufficient copies of the Agenda for the meeting. In addition copies of the procedure adopted by the Council for the conduct of the meeting should be made available.

17.4 Members of the public who have requested an opportunity to speak on an application shall be shown the location where they will be able to address the [planning committee] and when their opportunity to address the [planning committee] will arise.

17.5 The Chairman shall make clear to everyone present which Agenda item the [planning committee] is considering at any specific time and shall identify the application number and page number on the Agenda and the site address.

17.6 The Chairman will confirm the order of speaking on an application. The Chairman shall identify to the [planning committee] the public speaker and the capacity in which they address the [planning committee]. The Chairman will confirm to the public speakers the time permitted to address the [planning committee]. If Member who is speaking has a right to speak but not to vote the Chairman shall make clear the capacity of that Member at the meeting.

17.7 When a decision is taken on any application, the Chairman shall make clear to all present at the meeting the decision taken by the [planning committee] on that item.

Q16. Do these proposals differ from the current customer care arrangements you have in place? Do you see any difficulties with preparing a local procedure as set out in paragraph 15.1?

The Council will currently accept any representations received prior to 12pm on the day of Planning Committee (with the Chair's discretion) prior to a decision on a planning application being made. It is unclear what a cut off procedure would entail given that all representations submitted should be considered to ensure that all material considerations are taken into account, however, it is considered that a 24 hour deadline would enable most issues to be clarified prior to committee. It is possible that a cut off period could result in challenges to planning decisions and therefore the Chair's discretion should be retained.

Currently, late representations (received after the agenda is finalised a week before) are reported verbally in summary. The Council also write to notify all members of the public who have commented on an application that it is being reported to Planning Committee and how they can arrange to address planning committee directly.

Whilst LPAs may not be obliged to notify objectors that the application is going to be reported to Committee, in such circumstances it should be made clear on notification letters that they may be reported to Planning Committee and where further information can be found on committee dates, agendas and the procedure, otherwise members of the public may believe they are being omitted/ excluded from the process.

18. Advice on attending and speaking at the [Planning Committee]

18.1 The Council shall publish on its web site advice to the public on attending and speaking at the [planning committee].

Suggested provisions: -

1. How do the Council decide planning applications?

Over three-quarters of the planning applications submitted to the Council are decided by officers under delegated powers. The rest are decided by Elected Councillors at the [Planning Committee]. The full list of matters that should be considered by the Committee can be found in the scheme of delegation on the Council's website – www.xxxxx.gov.uk/planning

The following procedures and guidance are designed to ensure fair play and the smooth conduct of the [Planning Committee] meeting.

2. Can I speak at Committee?

Yes - but there are some procedures that need to be followed and these are outlined below.

3. How do I get to speak at Committee?

If you wish to speak at the Committee, you must notify the [Development Control Manager] in writing, at least 2 working days before the date of the Committee meeting at which the planning application will be considered. This will allow reasonable notice for the applicant to be contacted and make arrangements for them, or their agent, to speak and respond to you, should they so wish.

Notification of a written request to speak at the Committee which is received less than 2 days before the date of the meeting will not be accepted, unless there are exceptional circumstances. These will be determined by the Council Legal Officer, in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee.

4. What if a lot of people want to speak?

If a number of people wish to speak either for, or against, a particular planning application on similar grounds, you should try to combine your representations with them and nominate one spokesperson to speak on your behalf. This will avoid unnecessary repetition at the Committee meeting.

5. What can I expect at Committee?

As long as you have registered to speak there is nothing you need to do when you arrive at the Committee as the Chairman will let you know when it is your turn to speak.

First, the Chairman will open the meeting and ask the Members of the Committee to declare whether they have any personal and/or prejudicial interests in any of the applications that are to be discussed. If any Member does have a prejudicial interest you will see they leave the room when that application is being discussed. Further information on personal and prejudicial interests can be found on the Council's website – www.xxxx.gov.uk

The Chairman will then introduce the application to be considered. Public speaking will normally come next. Consideration of an item will not be delayed if a person who has registered to speak is not present.

Example The order for public speaking is likely to be as follows:

1. The applicant
2. The applicant's professional advisers
3. Supporters of the applicant
4. Professional advisers of the objectors
5. Objectors
6. Response by the applicant

It is important to be aware that public speakers will be expected to sit at a microphone at the front of the meeting. If you think that this situation could make you nervous you may want to think about preparing some notes of what you want to say to help you on the night, or perhaps ask someone to speak on your behalf.

Each side will have no more than 5 minutes to address the meeting although, in exceptional circumstances, the Chairman may extend this time. All speakers must comply with the directions of the Chairman, should he/she interrupt them during their speech.

6. What are Site Visit Committees?

Sometimes, even before the public speaking has started, a Member of the Committee may request that consideration of the application is deferred for a site visit. If the Committee agrees with this request then there will be no further discussion on the application at the meeting, and you will not be invited to speak.

Members of the Committee (not necessarily the whole Committee) will make a formal visit to the application site within a couple of weeks in order to assess the situation on site. You will not be able to make representations to the Members of the site visit Committee.

The application will then be reported back to the next available meeting of the Committee, along with an update from the site visit meeting. It is expected that you would still wish to address the Committee but if this is not the case then you should notify us of this change.

7. What happens after the public speaking?

Once all of the people who have registered to speak on the application have spoken, the matter will then be considered and debated by Members. In some cases the Chairman may invite the Planning Officer to make a short presentation on the application to the Committee or update Members on any late representations that have been received.

Members will then 'vote' on the application through a show of hands, which will normally lead to one of three outcomes:

- they may vote to defer determination of the application to a later meeting if it is felt that further information or amendments are needed before a decision can be made;
- they may vote to agree with the Planning Officer's recommendation to approve or refuse the application; or
- they may vote to disagree with the Planning Officer's recommendation.

If the resolution is to go against the Planning Officer's recommendation then the application will not usually be determined

at that meeting. The application will be considered again at a further meeting of the Committee with an additional report looking at the implications of going against the Planning Officer's recommendation. You will not normally be able to speak when the application is reported back. Members are not bound by their original resolution and can, following consideration resolve to agree with the Planning Officer's recommendation.

General advice

The law of defamation applies to any statement made in public. It is important, therefore, that you exercise extreme caution if you make personal comments about either applicants or objectors.

You should not enter into any dialogue with the Members of the Committee at the meeting.

Meetings of the Development Control Committee are normally held at am/pm in the Committee Chamber, Council Offices. General enquiries in respect of meetings should be made to the Council's Member Services Support Team, tel, email: If you want to know when, or if, a particular planning application is due to be considered by the Committee, please contact.

Q17. Do you have any additional comments not covered in the questions above?

The protocol should include provisions on the voting procedure, for example, what happens and in what order following the debate.

It is important for all Members to be clear about what they are voting e.g. this may become unclear where a Member moves a recommendation contrary to Officer advice after their part of the debate and another Member subsequently speaks and moves the Officer's recommendation.

This also needs to be clear on the procedure so that members of the public can follow proceedings and are clear on the outcome. It is recommended that Members should vote in the same order on each application reported to Planning Committee:

- Chair queries whether anyone moves Officer's recommendation
- Chair queries whether anyone has any amendments to propose to the Officer's recommendation without changing the decision itself (for example to add/ amend conditions or add / amend reasons for refusal – full details of the changes required)
- Seek seconder for the amendment(s)
- If amendment(s) are tabled, vote on the amendments in the order that they were proposed
- If amendments are proposed but not resolved, Chair seeks seconder to move Officer's recommendation
- Planning Committee vote on Officer's recommendation.

- If Officer's recommendation is not ratified, Members propose new resolution (including full reasons for refusal or conditions to be attached).
- Chair queries whether anyone has any amendments to proposed new recommendation
- If amendment(s) are tabled, vote on the amendments in the order that they were proposed
- If not resolved, seconder for Members new resolution
- Vote on Members new resolution